



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,762	08/10/2006	Stefan Golz	004974.01103	4836
22907	7590	06/19/2008	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			SWOPE, SHERIDAN	
1100 13th STREET, N.W.				
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/568,762	GOLZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SHERIDAN SWOPE	1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHERIDAN SWOPE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) LIZA HEMMENDINGER. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative was contacted to clarify their election. Their response of April 23, 2008, to the election/restriction requirement of April 3, 2008, stated that Applicants elected an in vitro method; but, Claim 2 was amended to recite an in vivo method. Applicants' representative clarified that the invention to be examined is drawn to an in vitro method for identifying relevant test compounds, which are then tested in an in vivo method.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/SHERIDAN SWOPE/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.